

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/611,402	Applicant(s) WASHINGTON, WINEFRED
	Examiner JENISE E. JACKSON	Art Unit 2439

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) JENISE E. JACKSON. (3) _____.

(2) Christopher J. Rourk. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 12, 22, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney, Christopher Rourk, to discuss amending independent claims 1, 9, 12, and 22, and 25. Mr. Rourk agreed to let the Examiner amend claims 1, 9, 12, 22, and 25 to add, "generating an inaccurate clock signal that oscillates at different frequencies; generating a plurality of encryption keys based on the generated clock signal and a pseudorandom bit pattern generated in a linear feedback shift register each time the digital device is reset". Also, the Examiner informed Mr. Rourk that claims 9, and 25 have a 112 for containing new matter. Claim 9, for "the encryption key generator is reset", and claim 25, an encryption circuit generating a plurality of keys when the encryption circuit is reset". The Examiner informed Mr. Rourk that the specification only discloses when the digital device is reset generating keys. Mr. Rourk agreed to amendment of claim 9 to include the digital device is reset, and claim 25 "generating a plurality of keys when the apparatus is reset".